

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/981,733	10/19/2001	Daniel Gourdain	\$ 5195	6091	
466	7590 06/11/2003				
YOUNG &	YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMINER	
745 SOUTH	23RD STREET 2ND FLOON, VA 22202	OR .	BUSHEY, CHARLES S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724	7	
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			MK-7			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/981,733	GOURDAIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott Bushey	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence add	iress			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, ply within the statutory minimum d will apply and will expire SIX (te cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this colone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	April 2003 .					
	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for forma	al matters, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde		50 O.D. 11, 400 O.G. 210.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideratio	n.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		A				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requireme	nt.				
Application Papers	ner					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir		objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 October 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be field in abeyance. See 37 GHV 1.55(c). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been receive	ed.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			l application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	4) 🗍 In	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No	o(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s 	5) 🔲 N	otice of Informal Patent Application (PT				
L CONTRACTOR CONTRACTO			_			

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-9 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. Figure 1 should apparently be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is seems to be illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). If Figure 1 is not in fact "Prior Art", applicant should clarify such in response to this Office action. If Figure 1 does show "Prior Art", than a proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 5, line 22, "Those" is misspelled.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 2, 3, 5, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Υ .

Art Unit: 1724

In claims 2, 3, 5, and 8, "the discharge chamber" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 7, "the connecting means" and "the chamber" lack antecedent basis. Also, in claim 7, it is unclear as to what element applicant is referring with respect to "it" on the penultimate line.

Applicant should note that while the reference numerals may exist within the claims, so long as they are within parentheses, they cannot be considered to provide patentable weight to the claim by defining the scope of the claim absent clear and concise language that defines the claimed elements.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by either Etcheverria et al (Figs. 1-3) or Carr et al (Fig. 1).

Applicant should note that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. Therefore, the recitation by applicant of the materials worked on cannot be considered to lend patentable weight to the claimed apparatus. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Application/Control Number: 09/981,733

Art Unit: 1724

Applicant should also note that the use of terminology within the claims, such as "possibly" and "preferably" allows for an extremely broad interpretation of a claim, wherein some elements may in fact be considered optional and thus not positively recited by the claim.

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3, 5, 7, and 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Bushey whose telephone number is (703) 308-3581. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Simmons can be reached on (703) 308-1972. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7718 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Scott Bushey Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

csb

June 9, 2003

6-9-03